**The Nina Kondelos Award is awarded to a female neuroscientist for outstanding contribution to basic or clinical neuroscience research.**

#### ****Conditions****

* The nominee must be a female member of ANS at the time of application and at the time the Award is presented and the achievement must be in the field of neuroscience.
* The nominee must not have received other major national or international prizes, such as the PM's Prize, Ramaciotti and Glaxo.

#### ****How to Apply****

* Each nominee must be proposed by one nominator, who must also list three supporters. Nominator and supporters must be members of ANS.
* The nomination must include a 1-page description of achievement and a 3-page CV. In preparing these, please be aware that research achievement **relative to opportunity** contributes 80% of the final score while contribution to the neuroscience research community contributes 20% of score - see the assessment rubric below. If describing opportunity limitations, include consideration of time devoted to undergraduate teaching responsibilities, as well as any career disruptions that may have impacted upon achievement.
* Email the 1-page description of achievement and 3-page CV to the ANS Secretariat, [**secretariat@ans.org.au**](mailto:secretariat@ans.org.au)

**Note from ANS Awards and Prizes Policy and Procedures document:**

Unsuccessful applicants/nominees for the Nina Kondelos Award should be advised that there is no limit on applications other than the eligibility limits described above and so they should consider applying for this Award again in future years. The ANS Secretary may (upon their discretion) contact any applicant of a previous year to ask them if they wish to resubmit an updated application.

**Assessment:**

Note: The winner of the Nina Kondelos Award is decided by the ANS Council. The ranking information provided by assessors below will be considered by an assessment committee, together with any other relevant information, to formulate a recommendation to the Council.

**Assessors must sign and date their completed assessment**, scan it as a PDF, and then email the PDF to the **ANS Secretary** who collates the assessments. Note that only the Secretary will be aware of any assessor’s scores and any committee discussion of scoring will be done with assessor identities concealed.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Scoring rubric** | | |
|  | | |
| **0** | **No evidence** given | **Notes:**  **Research Achievement:**  **1.** Journal publications and preprints. Consider number, quality, level of authorship, proportional contribution to the work, and the significance to neuroscience. *Please note: In accordance with DORA guidelines, do not use journal-based metrics, such as impact factor, as a surrogate measure of the quality of an individual article. The scientific content of the paper is a much more important indicator than the identity or impact factor of the journal in which it was published.*  2. Grants/fellowships won, employment, patents, conference presentations and invited talks. *Please note: In accordance with DORA guidelines, the value and impact of ALL research outputs, including datasets and software, will be considered. Additionally, a broad range of impact measures, including qualitative measures, such as influence on policy and practice, will be considered.*  3. Research students supervised (with % supervision responsibility noted).  **Contribution to neuroscience research community:**  Activity supporting ANS or similar societies. Assistance in organisation of scientific meetings. Science publication for the general public. Assistance in organisation of public outreach activities/promotion of neuroscience research. Evidence of media engagement. |
| **1** | **Very minor** achievement / contribution |
| **2** | **Minor** achievement / contribution |
| **3** | **Low** achievement / contribution |
| **4** | **Significant but somewhat below average** achievement / contribution |
| **5** | **Average expected** achievement / contribution |
| **6** | **Somewhat above average** achievement / contribution |
| **7** | **Well above average** achievement / contribution |
| **8** | **Very high relative level** of achievement / contribution |
| **9** | **Exceptional** achievement / contribution |
| **10** | **Outstandingly exceptional** achievement / contribution |

Enter a score of between **0** and **10** under the *Research achievement relative to opportunity* and the *Contribution to neuroscience community* criteria below. This is a score relative to your personal understanding of the range of achievement / contribution of a neuroscientist at this career stage **relative to opportunity** (e.g. include consideration of time devoted to undergraduate teaching responsibilities). Before you begin your assessment, please familiarise yourself with the recommendations of the **Declaration on Research Assessment (DORA)** at <https://sfdora.org/read/>

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Candidate No. 1** | Eligible?  Yes or no | Research achievement relative to opportunity | Contribution to neuroscience community | **Weighted Total/100** |
| **Name:** |  |  |  |  |
| **Assessor score →** |  |  |  |  |
| **Weighting applied** |  | X 8 | X 2 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Candidate No. 2** | Eligible?  Yes or no | Research achievement relative to opportunity | Contribution to neuroscience community | **Weighted Total/100** |
| **Name:** |  |  |  |  |
| **Assessor score →** |  |  |  |  |
| **Weighting applied** |  | X 8 | X 2 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Candidate No. 3** | Eligible?  Yes or no | Research achievement relative to opportunity | Contribution to neuroscience community | **Weighted Total/100** |
| **Name:** |  |  |  |  |
| **Assessor score →** |  |  |  |  |
| **Weighting applied** |  | X 8 | X 2 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Candidate No. 4** | Eligible?  Yes or no | Research achievement relative to opportunity | Contribution to neuroscience community | **Weighted Total/100** |
| **Name:** |  |  |  |  |
| **Assessor score →** |  |  |  |  |
| **Weighting applied** |  | X 8 | X 2 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Candidate No. 5** | Eligible?  Yes or no | Research achievement relative to opportunity | Contribution to neuroscience community | **Weighted Total/100** |
| **Name:** |  |  |  |  |
| **Assessor score →** |  |  |  |  |
| **Weighting applied** |  | X 8 | X 2 |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Candidate No. 6** | Eligible?  Yes or no | Research achievement relative to opportunity | Contribution to neuroscience community | **Weighted Total/100** |
| **Name:** |  |  |  |  |
| **Assessor score →** |  |  |  |  |
| **Weighting applied** |  | X 8 | X 2 |  |

Note that, where the summation of total weighted scores from the various assessors gives results for leading applicants that are numerically very close, the relative rankings of candidates by the assessors may be aggregated by the assessment committee to define the leading candidate.

I declare that I have no conflicts of interest in this assessment process and that the ranking shown above was performed by me.

Signature: \_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_ Date: ­­­­­­­­­­­­\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_